

**Facsimile Transmission**

North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue • Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 • (216) 586-3939

Facsimile: (216) 579-0212

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUN 16 2004

June 16, 2004

Please hand deliver the following facsimile to:

Name: Examiner Insun Kang

Company: USPTO

Telephone No.: 703-305-6465

Facsimile No.: 703-872-9306

Number of pages (including this page): 2

From: John V. Biernacki

OFFICIAL*Unofficial**(no signature)*

Send Copies To:

Direct Telephone No.: (216) 586-7747

JP No.: JP001352

CAM No.: 343355-600026

☐ Copies distributed

Operator's initials

Re:

NOTICE: This communication is intended to be confidential to the person to whom it is addressed, and it is subject to copyright protection. If you are not the intended recipient or the agent of the intended recipient or if you are unable to deliver this communication to the intended recipient, please do not read, copy or use this communication or show it to any other person, but notify the sender immediately by telephone at the direct telephone number noted above.

Message:

Please find attached an agenda for tomorrow's teleconference at 2:00 p.m. regarding Application No. 09/785,625. Please contact us with any questions or comments in the meanwhile.

Please call us immediately if the facsimile you receive is incomplete or illegible. Please ask for the facsimile operator.

ATLANTA • BEIJING • BRUSSELS • CHICAGO • CLEVELAND • COLUMBUS • DALLAS • FRANKFURT • HONG KONG
HOUSTON • IRVINE • LONDON • LOS ANGELES • MADRID • MENLO PARK • MILAN • MUNICH • NEW DELHI • NEW YORK
PARIS • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TAIPEI • TOKYO • WASHINGTON

Agenda for June 17, 2004 Teleconference

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/785,625 entitled "System and Method for Object State Persistence."

- Discussion of the cited Johnson reference (XML JavaBeans series, Part 1-3, JavaWorld) in relation to the office action's remarks about private object state data.
- Discussion of the cited Johnson reference (XML JavaBeans series, Part 1-3, JavaWorld) in relation to the office action's remarks about order in which private object state data is to be restored.
- Discussion of the Section 112 rejection involving the term "substantially."
- This bulletized list should not be construed as a complete listing of all items which may be discussed in any responses with the U.S. Patent Office regarding this case.